UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,702	08/21/2006	Richard Kim	6750-189-999	3898
20583 JONES DAY	7590 05/01/200	8	EXAM	IINER
222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK, NY 10017			HOLLERAN, ANNE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/567,702	KIM, RICHARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANNE L. HOLLERAN	1643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4,8,10-15,18,22,27,30,34 and 36</u> is/s	are pending in the application.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>2, 4, 8, 10-15, 18, 22, 27, 30, 34, and</u>	36 are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers		·				
· · · <u> </u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

The preliminary amendment filed 2/2/2006 is acknowledged. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 16, 17, 19-21, 23-26, 28, 29, 31-33, 35 and 37-46 are canceled.

Claims 2, 4, 8, 10-15, 18, 22, 27, 30, 34, and 36 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

First election of species:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

At least one ErbB receptor, where the ErbB receptor is ErbB-1;

At least one ErbB receptor, where the ErbB receptor is ErbB-2;

At least one ErbB receptor, where the ErbB receptor is ErbB-3; and

At least one ErbB receptor, where the ErbB receptor is ErbB-4.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1643

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

All claims are readable on each of the species, except for claim 30, which does not read on ErbB-1.

The following claim(s) are generic: 2, 4, 8, 10-15, 18, 22, 27, 34 and 36.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the concept of ErbB receptor as a prognostic indicator is known in the prior art, and therefore, does not make a contribution over the prior art as whole. For example, Bewick (Bewick, M. et al., Bone Marrow Transplantation, 24: 377-384, 1999) teaches measuring ErbB-2 in the plasma of breast cancer patients that have undergone high-dose chemotherapy and autologous blood stem cell support (see abstract and page 378-379).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,702 Page 4

Art Unit: 1643

Second election of species:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

An ErbB receptor probe, where the ErbB receptor probe is a nucleic acid;

An ErbB receptor probe, where the ErbB receptor probe is a protein; and

An ErbB receptor probe, where the ErbB receptor probe is a small molecule.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

All claims are readable on each of the species, except for claims 13, 14, 15, which does not read

on probes that are nucleic acids or small molecules.

The following claim(s) are generic: 2, 4, 8, 10-12, 18, 22, 27, 30, 34 and 36.

Art Unit: 1643

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the concept of an ErbB probe for measuring a level of an ErbB receptor is known in the prior art, and therefore, does not make a contribution over the prior art as whole. For example, Bewick (Bewick, M. et al., Bone Marrow Transplantation, 24: 377-384, 1999) teaches measuring ErbB-2 in the plasma of breast cancer patients that have undergone high-dose chemotherapy and autologous blood stem cell support (see abstract and page 378-379) using a monoclonal antibody in a commercially available kit (see page 378).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Holleran, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0833. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 am to 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, can be reached on (571) 272-0832. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,702 Page 6

Art Unit: 1643

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile

transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official

Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Official Fax number for Group 1600 is (571)

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Anne L. Holleran

Patent Examiner

April 29, 2008

/Alana M. Harris, Ph.D./

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643